

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/445,517 | 12/06/1999 | BRADFORD J DUFT | 235/013US | 1018 |
| 44638 Edward D. Gri | 7590 04/24/201 | EXAMINER | | |
| Amylin Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 9360 Towne Centre Drive | | | DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N | |
| San Diego, CA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1645 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 04/24/2012 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@amylin.com trademarks@amylin.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 09/445

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 09/445,517 | DUFT ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| S. DEVI, Ph.D | 1645 |

| | S. DEVI, Ph.D | 1645 | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>S. DEVI (USPTO)</u> . | (3) | | | | | | | |
| (2) Attorney Timothy Torchia. | (4) | | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 18 April 2012. | | | | | | | | |
| Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant] | applicant's representative] | | | | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description: | □ No. | | | | | | | |
| ISSUES DISCUSSED 1101 1112 1102 1103 Others (For each of the checked box(e) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | | | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc) | | | | | | | | |
| A telephonic request was made to attorney Timothy Torchia to let the Examiner of record know of the current status of the instant application in view of the 6 months extension of time obtained. Attorney Torchia informed that Applicants | | | | | | | | |
| have not filed a response in the instant application, but have filed a continuation application. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. | | | | | | | | |
| Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other perintent matters discussed regarding patentiability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised. | | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Attachment | | | | | | | | |
| /S. DEVI, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645 | 04-18-2012 | | | | | | | |